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# ****Abstract****

The Australian Technical Advisory Group on Immunisation (ATAGI) updated recommendations on the use of human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccines in the Australian Immunisation Handbook in 2018, regarding the use of the recently available 9-valent (9vHPV) vaccine, Gardasil 9, and a 2-dose schedule for young adolescents for HPV vaccines. This report provides an overview of the relevant scientific evidence that underpinned these updated recommendations. The 9vHPV vaccine includes 5 HPV types (HPV 31, 33, 45, 52 and 58) additional to the 4 that are also covered by the 4vHPV (Gardasil) vaccine (HPV 6,11,16,18). Accordingly, the 9vHPV vaccine is expected to prevent an additional 15% of cervical cancers and up to 20% of other HPV-related cancers. Non-inferior antibody responses after two 9vHPV vaccine doses given 6–12 months apart in girls and boys aged 9–14 years compared to women aged 16–26 years after three doses support the 2-dose schedule for adolescents of this age group. In clinical trials 9vHPV vaccine was well-tolerated with a similar safety profile to 4vHPV vaccine. The switch to 9vHPV vaccine and a 2-dose schedule is anticipated to improve public acceptability of the program and reduce HPV-related disease in the long-term.
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# Introduction

Human papillomavirus (HPV) infection is common, with the average lifetime probability of acquiring HPV infection estimated at approximately 85% for females and 91% for males.1 The prevalence of HPV infection varies geographically, demographically and by anatomical site. The most consistent data on prevalence of HPV infection are obtained from cervical screening in females. In Australia, the prevalence of any HPV infection in the cervix of females undergoing routine cervical screening in 2007, prior to commencement of HPV vaccination through the National Immunisation Program (NIP), was estimated to peak at 64% in the 15–20 year age group and to then decline gradually to 12% in the 41–45 year age group.2 Men who have sex with men (MSM) and immunocompromised individuals have higher rates of certain HPV infections and related cancers.3

## HPV associated cancers in Australia

HPV infection is a cause of cervical and several other anogenital cancers, such as anal, penile, vulval and vaginal cancers. Some cancers of the oropharynx and oral cavity are also caused by HPV infection. In an Australian study, HPV was detectable in approximately 93% of cervical cancers diagnosed between 2005 and 2015.4 HPV types 16 and 18 are the most prevalent types associated with cancer, and were detected in 77% of samples. An additional 14.8% (or 15.9% of HPV-positive cervical cancers) contained HPV types 31, 33, 45, 52 and 58. These HPV types were found in 8.2% of cervical adenocarcinomas, which are not well detected by cytology-based screening.

The prevalence of HPV among anal cancer specimens in an Australian study was 96%, with HPV type 16 the most common (75%).5 The estimated HPV-attributable proportions of other cancers, that are rarer in incidence, are 50% in penile, 40% in vulval, 70% in vaginal, 40% in oropharyngeal and 7% in oral cavity cancers.6–8

In Australia, the incidence rate of cervical cancer has more than halved since 1982 but has remained stable and low at approximately 7 per 100,000 since 2002.9 Mortality rates from cervical cancer have also reduced, from 5.2 per 100,000 in 1982 to 1.7 per 100,000 in 2013.9 In 2014 there were 223 deaths due to cervical cancer. The incidence rate of anal cancer has doubled among Australians from 1982 (0.8 per 100,000) to 2013 (1.6 per 100,000).10 The incidence rates of other HPV-associated anogenital cancers have remained stable, and ranged from 0.7 per 100,000 for penile to 2.3 per 100,000 for vulval cancer.8 HPV-related oropharyngeal cancers were found to increase steadily at an estimated 1% per year from 1982–2005 and are now estimated to stand at about 2.4 per 100,000.8,11

## Genital warts and HPV

HPV infection, primarily with the low-risk HPV types 6 and 11, causes genital warts. In Australia, before the implementation of HPV vaccination, around 4% each of both males and females, aged 16–59 years, self-reported ever having been diagnosed with genital warts,and the estimated annual incidence rate of genital warts between 2000 and 2006 was 219 per 100,000.12,13

## Impact of HPV vaccination in Australia

HPV vaccination through the NIP commenced in 2007 using the quadrivalent (4vHPV) vaccine, Gardasil, which protects against HPV types 6, 11, 16 and 18. The program initially targeted females aged 12–13 years and included catch-up vaccination for females aged up to 26 years until the end of 2009. It was extended to male adolescents in 2013. The program has led to dramatic declines in HPV infection and disease.

Among sexually active women aged 18–24 years fully vaccinated with 4vHPV vaccine, the prevalence of HPV cervical infection declined from 60% to 49% for all HPV types and from 29% to 2% for the 4vHPV types.14 Among women less than 20 years of age, incidence rates of high-grade cervical abnormalities (HGAs, precursors of cervical cancer), declined between 2007 and 2015 from 11.6 to 4.1 per 1,000 screened.9 Corresponding reductions in HGA incidence in women up to 29 years of age, undergoing cervical screening, have also been observed.15–17 Large declines of over 90% and over 70% in genital warts incidence, among Australian females aged <21 years and aged 21–30 years respectively, have been reported from sexual health centres.18 Among heterosexual men, incidence of genital wart diagnoses declined from the pre-vaccination period by over 80% in those aged <20 years and by over 50% in the 21–30 year age group as a result of a herd effect of the female-only HPV vaccination program.18

## Steps to improve prevention of cervical and other HPV-related cancers

In Australia, there are three HPV vaccines registered for use: the 4vHPV vaccine (Gardasil); bivalent (2vHPV) vaccine Cervarix; and nonavalent (9vHPV) vaccine Gardasil 9. The 9vHPV vaccine includes the HPV types (HPV 6, 11, 16 and 18) covered by the 4vHPV vaccine plus five additional oncogenic HPV types (31, 33, 45, 52 and 58). From February 2018, 9vHPV vaccine replaced 4vHPV vaccine on the NIP.

By expanding the HPV types covered, the 9vHPV vaccine is expected to provide young females protection against approximately 90%% of cervical-cancer-causing HPV types.4 For other HPV-associated cancers, data from the USA suggest that 9vHPV use would potentially further reduce incidence beyond that prevented by 4vHPV vaccine by 18% for vaginal, 14% for vulval, 11% for anal and 9% for penile cancers.8 In December 2017 the Australian National Cervical Screening Program (NCSP) transitioned to the use of HPV-based testing as the primary screening test, which is more sensitive than previous cytology-based screening. It is estimated that this will potentially reduce new cervical cancer cases and deaths by up to 36% in unvaccinated cohorts and up to 29% in 4vHPV-vaccinated cohorts.19

## HPV vaccination schedule change for young adolescents

The vaccination schedule for the routine adolescent target cohort for HPV vaccine has changed from three to two doses (Box 1). The 3-dose schedule recommended and used from 2007 to 2017 was based on primary studies that established the efficacy and immunogenicity of HPV vaccines in 3-dose schedules, and on the initial registration of 4vHPV vaccine with Therapeutic Goods Administration of Australia.

Box 1: New ATAGI recommendations on HPV vaccination for Australians

* Young adolescents (females and males) who commence vaccination before their 15th birthday are recommended to receive 2 doses of HPV vaccine, ideally at the age of approximately 12–13 years. The second vaccine dose should be administered 6–12 months after the first dose. This schedule is recommended regardless of which of the three currently registered HPV vaccines is (or has been) used: 9vHPV vaccine (Gardasil9), 4vHPV vaccine (Gardasil), or 2vHPV vaccine (Cervarix).
* Individuals who commence HPV vaccination on or after their 15th birthday are recommended to receive 3 doses of HPV vaccine. The 3-dose schedule is recommended at times 0 (first dose), 2 and 6 months.
* A 3-dose HPV vaccine schedule is also recommended for certain immunocompromised individuals, regardless of age at commencement of HPV vaccination. The relevant immunocompromising conditions include: primary or secondary immunodeficiencies (B lymphocyte antibody and T lymphocyte complete or partial deficiencies), HIV infection, malignancy, organ transplantation, or significant immunosuppressive therapy.

The 9vHPV vaccine is suitable to be used to complete a schedule that was commenced with 4vHPV or 2vHPV vaccine. The 9vHPV vaccine targets the HPV types covered by 4vHPV vaccine (6, 11, 16 and 18), plus five additional oncogenic HPV types (31, 33, 45, 52 and 58). Individuals who commenced a 4vHPV or 2vHPV vaccine schedule and completed it with 9vHPV vaccine will be adequately protected against the vaccines’ shared HPV types.

Since the commencement of the program, several studies have demonstrated that immunogenicity of HPV vaccination using 2 doses given at least 6 months apart, in younger adolescent cohorts, is comparable with 3-dose schedules in older vaccine recipients. In 2014, the World Health Organization (WHO) amended its HPV vaccination recommendations to endorse a 2-dose schedule for those individuals less than 15 years of age when commencing vaccination.20,21 A review of evidence by the WHO’s Strategic Advisory Group of Experts on Immunisation concluded that immunogenicity of a 2-dose HPV vaccination schedule in girls was non-inferior to a 3-dose HPV vaccination schedule in women in whom clinical efficacy had been demonstrated.22 These immunogenicity findings have also been demonstrated in males. The key advantages of a 2-dose schedule for adolescent HPV vaccination, identified by WHO, include cost-effectiveness and programmatic benefits potentiating higher vaccination coverage. The National Immunisation Technical Advisory Groups of many countries have adopted these recommendations and have moved to 2-dose schedules for adolescent HPV vaccination.

# Methodology

The ATAGI HPV Working Party, supported by the National Centre for Immunisation Research and Surveillance (NCIRS), has undertaken a comprehensive review of the evidence relating to the immunogenicity, efficacy, effectiveness and safety of a) the 9vHPV vaccine and b) 2-dose HPV vaccination schedules. An extensive literature review has been conducted to identify all relevant studies on 9vHPV vaccine and 2-dose HPV vaccination schedules up to November 2017; unpublished data made available by vaccine manufacturers as well as relevant citations of data published since November 2017 have also been included in the review. This evidence forms the basis of the ATAGI updated HPV vaccination recommendations.

# Results and Discussion

The following subsections summarise the key evidence underpinning the ATAGI updated recommendations on HPV vaccinations. As outlined below, there is evidence from pivotal randomised controlled trials (RCTs) on the additional benefit of 9vHPV vaccine and the comparable protective effect of 2-dose HPV vaccination schedules to 3-dose schedules in adolescents 9–14 years of age.

## Comparative benefits of 9vHPV and 4vHPV vaccines

The comparative benefits of 9vHPV vaccine relative to 4vHPV vaccine were assessed in a pivotal trial with clinical endpoints (persistent infection and combined high-grade cervical, vulvar and vaginal disease associated with vaccine-type HPV) and immunogenicity in women aged 16–26 years, where both vaccines were given in a 3-dose schedule.23 In this trial, 9vHPV vaccine had high comparative efficacy and was clinically superior against disease due to 9v-non-4vHPV types (31, 33, 45, 52 and 58) compared to the 4vHPV vaccine (Table 1). The 9vHPV vaccine also produced non-inferior antibody geometric mean titres (GMTs) and seroconversion rates for the 4vHPV types compared to 4vHPV vaccine.

Table 1: Estimates of efficacy against HPV types 31, 33, 45, 52 and 58-related disease with 3 doses of 9vHPV compared to 3 doses of 4vHPV among women aged 16–26 years.

| Clinical Endpoint | 9vHPV group | | | 4vHPV group | | | Comparative efficacy of 9vHPV vaccine against disease due to HPV types unique to 9vHPV vaccine | 95% CI |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Number of cases  (non-4vHPV types 31, 33, 45, 52, 58-related) | Number of participants | Incidence rate/1,000 person- years | Number of cases  (non-4vHPV types 31, 33, 45, 52, 58-related) | Number of participants | Incidence rate/1,000 person-years |
| High-grade cervical, vulvar and vaginal diseasea and cancer | 1 | 6016 | 0.1 | 30 | 6017 | 1.6 | 96.7% | 80.9–99.8% |
| High-grade cervical disease and cancerb | 1 | 5948 | 0.1 | 27 | 5943 | 1.5 | 96.3% | 79.5–99.8% |
| Persistent infection ≥6 months | 35 | 5939 | 2.1 | 810 | 5953 | 52.4 | 96.0% | 94.4–97.2% |

a Includes high-grade cervical epithelial neoplasia, adenocarcinoma in situ, cervical cancer, high-grade vulvar intraepithelial neoplasia, high-grade vaginal intraepithelial neoplasia, vulvar cancer and vaginal cancer

b Includes high-grade cervical intraepithelial neoplasia, adenocarcinoma in situ and cervical cancer

Data source: Joura et al. 201523

## Comparison of two- and three-dose HPV vaccine schedules

For all three HPV vaccines, data on immunogenicity (comparing seroconversion and antibody titres) show that a 2-dose schedule in adolescents aged 9–14 years is non-inferior to a 3-dose schedule in young adults aged 16 years and over.

Immunogenicity has been used to infer clinical efficacy in younger adolescents through immunobridging in 2-dose schedule trial data, whereby post-vaccination antibody responses in younger adolescents (who are unlikely to have experienced sexual debut) are compared to those in young adults in whom clinical efficacy has been demonstrated.

A pivotal clinical trial compared immunogenicity of 9vHPV vaccine in 2-dose schedules (at 0 and 6 months or at 0 and 12 months) in adolescent females and males, aged 9–14 years, with a 3-dose schedule (0, 2 and 6 months) in females aged 16–26 years.24 The trial showed high seroconversion irrespective of dose schedule, with more than 99% of the adolescent girls and boys, and 97.9–99.6% of the women, becoming seropositive for all 9vHPV types one month post the last 9vHPV vaccine dose. The GMTs of antibody for all 9vHPV types in girls and boys after either of the alternative 2-dose schedules were also non-inferior to GMTs of women after 3 doses of 9vHPV vaccine. Girls aged 9–14 years were given 9vHPV vaccine in a 3-dose schedule in an exploratory third arm of the study; overall, GMTs for all 9vHPV types except HPV 45 were higher and non-inferior in those who received 2 doses of 9vHPV vaccine at 0 and 12 months compared to those who received either 2 doses at 0 and 6 months or the 3-dose schedule.

The non-inferior immunogenicity in adolescents receiving alternative 2-dose schedules of 9vHPV vaccine, compared to women receiving 3-dose schedules, provides evidence for recommending a 9vHPV vaccine 2-dose schedule (at 0 and 6–12 months) for those commencing HPV vaccination before their 15th birthday.

Two-dose schedules of 4vHPV and 2vHPV vaccines have also demonstrated non-inferior immunogenicity, effectiveness and efficacy against vaccine type HPV infection and disease. Non-inferior antibody responses to 4vHPV vaccine in girls aged 9–13 years post 2-dose schedule, compared to women aged 16–26 years post 3-dose schedule, were demonstrated in a RCT.25 In follow-up data from this trial, girls receiving 2-dose schedule had higher levels of antibody persistence up to 60 months after dose 2, compared to women receiving 3 doses of 4vHPV vaccine.26 In a large study in India, unmarried females aged 10–18 years, had very low and similar incidence of HPV 6, 11, 16 or 18 infection (≤1%), following 4vHPV vaccine in a 2-dose schedule at 0 and 6 months, to that following 3 doses.27 No persistent HPV 16 or 18 infections were seen after a median follow-up of about 5 years.

Further support for a 2-dose schedule with a minimum 6 month interval comes from a post-licensure study where a 2-dose interval of ≥4 months had a non-inferior protective effect against genital warts compared to a completed 3-dose schedule.28 In this study young women aged <23 years who had received only 2 doses of 4vHPV vaccine had a statistically significant trend towards greater protection against genital warts as the time interval between the 2 doses increased beyond 4 months.

The 2vHPV vaccine in a 2-dose schedule has been shown to elicit non-inferior antibody responses against both HPV 16 and 18 in girls aged 9–14 years for up to 60 months after first vaccine dose, compared to 3-dose schedules in young women aged 15–25 years.29–33 Post-hoc analysis of data from two RCTs also found that 2vHPV vaccine 2-dose schedules only produced non-inferior efficacy compared to 3-dose schedules when doses were separated by an interval of 6 months rather than by shorter intervals.34

## Use of a three-dose HPV vaccine schedule

The immunogenicity and efficacy of 2-dose HPV vaccine schedules have not been adequately evaluated in those aged ≥15 years. Clinical trials have not directly assessed 2-dose HPV vaccination in those aged 16–26 years. Therefore, continuation of the 3-dose schedule is recommended if HPV vaccination was started after the 15th birthday.

There are no data available on 2-dose HPV vaccination schedules in young adolescents with compromised immunity. It is unclear whether a reduced dose schedule would provide sufficient protection in people with conditions in which the antibody response to vaccination may be diminished. As such, it is recommended that immunocompromised individuals, regardless of age at commencement of HPV vaccination, are given a 3-dose HPV vaccination schedule for adequate protection. The specific conditions where the 3-dose schedule is applicable, based on expert evidence-based guidelines and the immune mechanisms of protection against HPV infection, include primary or secondary immunodeficiencies (B lymphocyte antibody and T lymphocyte complete or partial deficiencies), HIV infection, malignancy, organ transplantation, or significant immunosuppressive therapy.35

## Use of 9vHPV vaccine in those vaccinated with 2vHPV or 4vHPV

The 9vHPV vaccine is suitable, safe and effective to use to complete a schedule started with either 2vHPV or 4vHPV vaccine. Depending on age at first dose, a total of either 2 or 3 doses are required for schedule completion. In clinical trials, 9vHPV vaccine had good efficacy against disease due to 9v-non-4v types and sufficient immunogenicity against 4vHPV types.23 The 9vHPV vaccine in a 2-dose schedule had non-inferior immunogenicity to 9vHPV vaccine in a 3-dose schedule in adolescent girls and boys.24 Therefore it is reasonable to infer that completion of 2-dose or 3-dose 4vHPV (or 2vHPV) vaccination schedules with 9vHPV vaccine will provide adequate protection against their shared vaccine HPV types.

However, routine vaccination with 9vHPV vaccine is not recommended in those who have already completed a full vaccination schedule with either 2vHPV or 4vHPV vaccine. Both 2vHPV and 4vHPV vaccines protect against HPV 16 and 18, which are the most common oncogenic HPV types found in HPV-associated cancers in Australia and the greatest public health concern.4 For complete protection against the additional 5 HPV types included in the 9vHPV, two or three doses (depending on age when vaccination was commenced) would be required in those previously vaccinated with 2vHPV or 4vHPV vaccine. Pragmatic concerns regarding timing of sexual debut and likelihood of exposure to the unique 9vHPV types, the potential of adverse events from additional injections and associated extra costs of vaccination limit the utility of administering 9vHPV vaccine to individuals who have already completed a full course of 2vHPV or 4vHPV.

## Duration of protection of HPV vaccination

Data on long-term clinical protection of 9vHPV vaccine in 2-dose schedules are limited. However, the duration of protection offered by a 9vHPV vaccine 2-dose schedule is likely to be similar to 9vHPV and 4vHPV vaccines in 3-dose schedules, as these schedules have comparable immunogenicity in individuals aged 9–14 years.23,24 In follow-up trial data 78–100% of young women maintained detectable antibodies at 60 months after their last dose of 9vHPV vaccine in a 3-dose schedule.36 The 4vHPV vaccine 3-dose schedules have demonstrated ongoing protection for up to 10 years of follow-up against HPV vaccine-type persistent infection and other related clinical outcomes such as genital warts and low-grade and high-grade cervical, vaginal, vulvar cancer precursors and cancers.37

## Safety of HPV vaccination

There is robust reassuring data from clinical trials and high quality post-marketing studies, as well as expert reviews indicating that the safety profiles of 2vHPV, 4vHPV and 9vHPV vaccines are very good.38,39 In clinical trials, 9vHPV vaccine had a similar safety profile to 4vHPV vaccine and was generally well-tolerated by adolescents and young adults. Minor injection-site reactions (pain, swelling, erythema and pruritus) were the adverse events most common for both these vaccines but are slightly more frequent with 9vHPV vaccine than 4vHPV (e.g. 72.5% vs 61.0% for any injection-site reaction after dose 1).40 This is likely to be associated with the higher concentration of adjuvant present in 9vHPV vaccine.39 Reducing the number of doses of HPV vaccine administered from 3 to 2 doses will, however, result in fewer opportunities for adverse events following immunisation. Additionally, there are data to support that concomitant administration of 9vHPV with other vaccines including meningococcal, diphtheria-tetanus-pertussis and poliomyelitis vaccines is safe and does not interfere with immune responses to respective vaccine antigens.41,42

# Conclusions

The 9vHPV vaccine offers protection that is non-inferior to that of 4vHPV vaccine against HPV infection and associated disease caused by their shared HPV types and superior against the five oncogenic HPV types unique to 9vHPV. Based on HPV-associated cancer epidemiology, the 9vHPV vaccine is expected to prevent an additional 15% of cervical cancers and up to 20% of other HPV-related cancers among Australians. There is high-level evidence, for all three HPV vaccines, showing that a 2-dose schedule with a 6–12 month interval between doses in young adolescents provides comparable protection to that of a 3-dose schedule. There is insufficient evidence to extend the use of a 2-dose schedule for those commencing vaccination from 15 years of age and over and for individuals with certain immunocompromising conditions. The advantages of the 2-dose schedule include increased flexibility and the potential to increase cost-effectiveness. Greater public acceptability of a HPV vaccination program protecting against more HPV types and containing fewer doses is anticipated and would lead to improved coverage over time. This, together with complementary changes such as the transition to use HPV-based testing in the NCSP, is expected to further improve HPV related disease prevention in Australia.

# Acknowledgements

The preparation of this manuscript and the review of the evidence therein were undertaken at the NCIRS as part of scientific technical support to ATAGI which is funded by the Australian Government Department of Health.

# Author details

Mr Peter Wnukowski-Mtonga1

Dr Sanjay Jayasinghe2

Dr Clayton Chiu3

Prof Kristine Macartney4

A/Prof Julia Brotherton5

Prof Basil Donovan6

Ms Madeline Hall7

Prof David W Smith8

Ms Karen Peterson9

Ms Sue Campbell-Lloyd10

Dr Christine Selvey11

A/Prof Michelle Giles12

Prof John Kaldor13

Prof Helen Marshall14

1. Research Officer, National Centre for Immunisation Research and Surveillance, Westmead, New South Wales
2. Research Fellow, National Centre for Immunisation Research and Surveillance and Discipline of Child and Adolescent Health, The Children’s Hospital at Westmead Clinical School, University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales
3. Public Health Physician, National Centre for Immunisation Research and Surveillance and Discipline of Child and Adolescent Health, The Children’s Hospital at Westmead Clinical School, University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales
4. Director, National Centre for Immunisation Research and Surveillance and Discipline of Child and Adolescent Health, The Children’s Hospital at Westmead Clinical School, University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales
5. Public Health Physician and Medical Director, Victorian Cytology Service Population Health, VCS Foundation and School of Population and Global Health, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria
6. Sexual Health and Public Health Physician, The Kirby Institute, University of New South Wales and Sydney Sexual Health Centre, Sydney Hospital, Sydney, New South Wales
7. Nurse Practitioner, Royal Brisbane and Women’s Hospital, Queensland Health, Brisbane, Queensland
8. Clinical Virologist, Department of Microbiology, PathWest Laboratory Medicine WA and Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Western Australia, Nedlands, Western Australia
9. Manager, Immunisation Program, Queensland Health, Brisbane, Queensland
10. Manager, Immunisation Unit, Communicable Diseases Branch, Health Protection NSW, NSW Health, Sydney, New South Wales
11. Medical Epidemiologist, Communicable Diseases Branch, Health Protection NSW, NSW Health, Sydney, New South Wales
12. Infectious Diseases Physician, The Alfred Hospital and Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria
13. Senior Principal Research Fellow, The Kirby Institute, University of New South Wales, Sydney, New South Wales
14. Senior Medical Practitioner and Director, Vaccinology and Immunology Research Trials Unit, Women’s and Children’s Hospital and Robinson Research Institute and Adelaide Medical School, University of Adelaide, North Adelaide, South Australia

## Corresponding author

Dr Sanjay Jayasinghe

Research Fellow, National Centre for Immunisation Research and Surveillance and Discipline of Child and Adolescent Health, The Children’s Hospital at Westmead Clinical School, University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales

Email: sanjay.jayasinghe@health.nsw.gov.au

# References

1. Chesson HW, Dunne EF, Hariri S, Markowitz LE. The estimated lifetime probability of acquiring human papillomavirus in the United States. Sex Transm Dis. 2014;41(11):660–4.
2. Brotherton JM, Condon JR, McIntyre PB, Tabrizi SN, Malloy M, Garland SM. Human papillomavirus prevalence to age 60 years among Australian women prevaccination. Sex Health. 2015;12(4):353–9.
3. Vajdic CM, van Leeuwen MT, Jin F, Prestage G, Medley G, Hillman RJ et al. Anal human papillomavirus genotype diversity and co-infection in a community-based sample of homosexual men. Sex Transm Infect. 2009;85(5):330–5.
4. Brotherton JML, Tabrizi SN, Phillips S, Pyman J, Cornall AM, Lambie N et al. Looking beyond human papillomavirus (HPV) genotype 16 and 18: defining HPV genotype distribution in cervical cancers in Australia prior to vaccination Int J Cancer. 2017;141(8):1576–84.
5. Hillman RJ, Garland SM, Gunathilake MP, Stevens M, Kumaradevan N, Lemech C et al. Human papillomavirus (HPV) genotypes in an Australian sample of anal cancers. Int J Cancer. 2014;135(4):996–1001.
6. Antonsson A, Wilson LF, Kendall BJ, Bain CJ, Whiteman DC, Neale RE. Cancers in Australia in 2010 attributable to infectious agents. Aust N Z J Public Health. 2015;39(5):446–51.
7. Grulich AE, Jin F, Conway EL, Stein AN, Hocking J. Cancers attributable to human papillomavirus infection. Sex Health. 2010;7(3):244–52.
8. Patel C, Brotherton JM, Pillsbury A, Jayasinghe S, Donovan B, Macartney K et al. The impact of 10 years of human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccination in Australia: what additional disease burden will a nonavalent vaccine prevent? Euro Surveill. 2018;23(41). https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2018.23.41.1700737.
9. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (AIHW). Cervical screening in Australia 2014–2015. Cancer series no. 105. Cat. no. CAN 104. Canberra: Australian Government, AIHW; 2017. [Accessed: 5 July 2017.] Available from: http://www.aihw.gov.au/publication-detail/?id=60129559631 .
10. Jin F, Stein AN, Conway EL, Regan DG, Law M, Brotherton JM et al. Trends in anal cancer in Australia, 1982–2005. Vaccine. 2011;29(12):2322–7.
11. Hocking JS, Stein A, Conway EL, Regan D, Grulich A, Law M et al. Head and neck cancer in Australia between 1982 and 2005 show increasing incidence of potentially HPV-associated oropharyngeal cancers. Br J Cancer. 2011;104(5):886–91.
12. Grulich AE, De Visser RO, Smith AM, Rissel CE, Richters J. Sexually transmissible infection and blood-borne virus history in a representative sample of adults. Aust N Z J Public Health. 2003;27(2):234–41.
13. Pirotta M, Stein AN, Conway EL, Harrison C, Britt H, Garland S. Genital warts incidence and healthcare resource utilisation in Australia. Sex Transm Infect. 2010;86(3):181–6.
14. Tabrizi SN, Brotherton JM, Kaldor JM, Skinner SR, Liu B, Bateson D et al. Assessment of herd immunity and cross protection after a human papillomavirus vaccination programme in Australia: a repeat cross sectional study. Lancet Infect Dis. 2014;14(10):958–66.
15. Brotherton JM, Fridman M, May CL, Chappell G, Saville AM, Gertig DM. Early effect of the HPV vaccination programme on cervical abnormalities in Victoria, Australia: an ecological study. Lancet. 2011;377(9783):2085–92.
16. Brotherton JM, Gertig DM, May C, Chappell G, Saville M. HPV vaccine impact in Australian women: ready for an HPV-based screening program. Med J Aust. 2016;204(5):184–184e1.
17. Gertig DM, Brotherton JM, Budd AC, Drennan K, Chappell G, Saville AM. Impact of a population-based HPV vaccination program on cervical abnormalities: a data linkage study. BMC Med. 2013;11:227.
18. Ali H, Donovan B, Wand H, Read TR, Regan DG, Grulich AE et al. Genital warts in young Australians five years into national human papillomavirus vaccination programme: national surveillance data. BMJ. 2013;346:f2032.
19. Cancer Council Australia Cervical Cancer Screening Guidelines Working Party. National Cervical Screening Program: Guidelines for the management of screen-detected abnormalities, screening in specific populations and investigation of abnormal vaginal bleeding. [Internet.] Cancer Council Australia; 2017. [Accessed: 10 September 2018.] Available from: https://wiki.cancer.org.au/australia/Guidelines:Cervical\_cancer/Screening
20. World Health Organisation. Human papillomavirus vaccines: WHO position paper, October 2014. Wkly Epidemiol Rec. 2014;89(43):465–91.
21. World Health Organisation. Human papillomavirus vaccines: WHO position paper, May 2017. Wkly Epidemiol Rec. 2017;92(19):241–68.
22. World Health Organisation. Meeting of the Strategic Advisory Group of Experts on immunization, April 2014 – conclusions and recommendations. 2014. Wkly Epidemiol Rec. 2014;89(21):221–236.
23. Joura EA, Giuliano AR, Iversen OE, Bouchard C, Mao C, Mehlsen J et al. A 9-valent HPV vaccine against infection and intraepithelial neoplasia in women. N Engl J Med. 2015;372(8):711–23.
24. Iversen OE, Miranda MJ, Ulied A, Soerdal T, Lazarus E, Chokephaibulkit K et al. Immunogenicity of the 9-valent HPV vaccine using 2-dose regimens in girls and boys vs a 3-dose regimen in women. JAMA. 2016;316(22):2411–21.
25. Dobson SR, McNeil S, Dionne M, Dawar M, Ogilvie G, Krajden M et al. Immunogenicity of 2 doses of HPV Vaccine in younger adolescents vs 3 doses in young women. JAMA. 2013;309(17):1793–802.
26. Ogilvie G, Sauvageau C, Dionne M, McNeil S, Krajden M, Money D et al. Immunogenicity of 2 vs 3 doses of the quadrivalent human papillomavirus vaccine in girls aged 9 to 13 years after 60 months. JAMA. 2017;317(16):1687–8.
27. Sankaranarayanan R, Prabhu PR, Pawlita M, Gheit T, Bhatla N, Muwonge R et al. Immunogenicity and HPV infection after one, two, and three doses of quadrivalent HPV vaccine in girls in India: a multicentre prospective cohort study. Lancet Oncol. 2016;17(1):67–77.
28. Blomberg M, Dehlendorff C, Sand C, Kjaer SK. Dose-related differences in effectiveness of human papillomavirus vaccination against genital warts: a nationwide study of 550,000 young girls. Clin Infect Dis. 2015;61(5):676–82.
29. Puthanakit T, Huang LM, Chiu CH, Tang RB, Schwarz TF, Esposito S et al. Randomized open trial comparing 2-dose regimens of the HPV-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine in girls aged 9–14 years versus 3 doses in women aged 15–25 years. J Infect Dis. 2016;214(4):525–36.
30. GlaxoSmithKline Vaccine HPV-007 Study Group, Romanowski B, de Borba P, Naud P, Roteli-Martins CM, De Carvalho NS et al. Sustained efficacy and immunogenicity of the human papillomavirus (HPV)-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine: analysis of a randomised placebo-controlled trial up to 6·4 years. Lancet. 2009;374(9706):1975–85.
31. Romanowski B, Schwarz TF, Ferguson L, Peters K, Dionne M, Behre U et al. Sustained immunogenicity of the HPV-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine administered as a two-dose schedule in adolescent girls: Five-year clinical data and modeling predictions from a randomized study. Hum Vaccin Immunother. 2016;12(1):20–9.
32. Romanowski B, Schwarz TF, Ferguson LM, Ferguson M, Peters K, Dionne M et al. Immune response to the HPV-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine administered as a 2-dose or 3-dose schedule up to 4 years after vaccination: results from a randomized study. Hum Vaccin Immunother. 2014;10(5):1155–65.
33. Romanowski B, Schwarz TF, Ferguson LM, Peters K, Dionne M, Schulze K et al. Immunogenicity and safety of the HPV-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine administered as a 2-dose schedule compared with the licensed 3-dose schedule: results from a randomized study. Hum Vaccin. 2011;7(12):1374–86.
34. Kreimer AR, González P, Katki HA, Porras C, Schiffman M, Rodriguez AC et al. Efficacy of a bivalent HPV 16/18 vaccine against anal HPV 16/18 infection among young women: a nested analysis within the Costa Rica Vaccine Trial. Lancet Oncol. 2011;12(9):862–70.
35. Rubin LG, Levin MJ, Ljungman P, Davies EG, Avery R, Tomblyn M et al. 2013 IDSA clinical practice guideline for vaccination of the immunocompromised host. Clin Infect Dis. 2014;58(3):309–18.
36. Guevara A, Cabello R, Woelber L, Moreira ED, Joura E, Reich O, Shields C, Ellison MC, Joshi A, Luxembourg A. Antibody persistence and evidence of immune memory at 5years following administration of the 9-valent HPV vaccine. Vaccine. 2017;35(37):5050–7.
37. Ferris DG, Samakoses R, Block SL, Lazcano-Ponce E, Restrepo JA, Mehlsen J et al. 4-valent human papillomavirus (4vHPV) vaccine in preadolescents and adolescents after 10 years. Pediatrics. 2017;140(6). pii: e20163947.
38. Macartney KK, Chiu C, Georgousakis M, Brotherton JM. Safety of human papillomavirus vaccines: a review. Drug Saf. 2013;36(6) 393–412.
39. Phillips A, Patel C, Pillsbury A, Brotherton J, Macartney K. Safety of human papillomavirus vaccines: an updated review. Drug Saf. 2018;41(4):329–46.
40. Moreira ED Jr, Palefsky JM, Giuliano AR, Goldstone S, Aranda C, Jessen H et al. Safety and reactogenicity of a quadrivalent human papillomavirus (types 6, 11, 16, 18) L1 viral-like-particle vaccine in older adolescents and young adults. Hum Vaccin. 2011;7(7):768–75.
41. Kosalaraksa P, Mehlsen J, Vesikari T, Forstén A, Helm K, Van Damme P et al. An open-label, randomized study of a 9-valent human papillomavirus vaccine given concomitantly with diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis and poliomyelitis vaccines to healthy adolescents 11–15 years of age. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2015;34(6):627–34.
42. Schilling A, Parra MM, Gutierrez M, Restrepo J, Ucros S, Herrera T et al. Coadministration of a 9-valent human papillomavirus vaccine with meningococcal and Tdap vaccines. Pediatrics. 2015;136(3):e563–72.

**Communicable Diseases Intelligence**

ISSN: 2209-6051 Online

**Communicable Diseases Intelligence (CDI) is a peer-reviewed scientific journal published by the Office of Health Protection, Department of Health. The journal aims to disseminate information on the epidemiology, surveillance, prevention and control of communicable diseases of relevance to Australia.**

**Editor:** Tanja Farmer

**Deputy Editor:** Simon Petrie

**Design and Production:** Kasra Yousefi

**Editorial Advisory Board:** David Durrheim, Mark Ferson, John Kaldor, Martyn Kirk and Linda Selvey

**Website**: <http://www.health.gov.au/cdi>

**Contacts**Communicable Diseases Intelligence is produced by:   
Health Protection Policy Branch, Office of Health Protection, Australian Government Department of Health  
GPO Box 9848, (MDP 6) CANBERRA ACT 2601

**Email:** [cdi.editor@health.gov.au](mailto:cdi.editor@health.gov.au)

**Submit an Article**You are invited to submit your next communicable disease related article to the Communicable Diseases Intelligence (CDI) for consideration. More information regarding CDI can be found at: <http://health.gov.au/cdi>.

Further enquiries should be directed to: [cdi.editor@health.gov.au](mailto:cdi.editor@health.gov.au).

This journal is indexed by Index Medicus and Medline.

Creative Commons Licence - Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives CC BY-NC-ND

© 2020 Commonwealth of Australia as represented by the Department of Health

This publication is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International Licence from <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/legalcode> (Licence). You must read and understand the Licence before using any material from this publication.

**Restrictions**The Licence does not cover, and there is no permission given for, use of any of the following material found in this publication (if any):

* the Commonwealth Coat of Arms (by way of information, the terms under which the Coat of Arms may be used can be found at [www.itsanhonour.gov.au](http://www.itsanhonour.gov.au/));
* any logos (including the Department of Health’s logo) and trademarks;
* any photographs and images;
* any signatures; and
* any material belonging to third parties.

**Disclaimer**Opinions expressed in Communicable Diseases Intelligence are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the Australian Government Department of Health or the Communicable Diseases Network Australia. Data may be subject to revision.

**Enquiries**Enquiries regarding any other use of this publication should be addressed to the Communication Branch, Department of Health, GPO Box 9848, Canberra ACT 2601, or via e-mail to: [copyright@health.gov.au](mailto:copyright@health.gov.au)

**Communicable Diseases Network Australia**Communicable Diseases Intelligence contributes to the work of the Communicable Diseases Network Australia.  
<http://www.health.gov.au/cdna>

1. The Australian Technical Advisory Group on Immunisation (ATAGI) provides evidence-based advice on immunisation policies, programs and research priorities to the Minister for Health and other key policy makers on the Immunise Australia Program and other related issues. ATAGI recommendations, approved by the National Health and Medical Research Council, are published in the Australian Immunisation Handbook. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)