

AUSTRALIAN ENTEROCOCCAL SEPSIS OUTCOME PROGRAMME, 2011

Geoffrey W Coombs, Julie C Pearson, Denise A Daley, Tam Le, James O Robinson, Thomas Gottlieb, Benjamin P Howden, Paul DR Johnson, Catherine M Bennett, Timothy P Stinear, John D Turnidge for the Australian Group on Antimicrobial Resistance

Abstract

From 1 January to 31 December 2011, 29 institutions around Australia participated in the Australian Enterococcal Sepsis Outcome Programme (AESOP). The aim of AESOP 2011 was to determine the proportion of enterococcal bacteraemia isolates in Australia that are antimicrobial resistant, with particular emphasis on susceptibility to ampicillin and the glycopeptides, and to characterise the molecular epidemiology of the *Enterococcus faecalis* and *E. faecium* isolates. Of the 1,079 unique episodes of bacteraemia investigated, 95.8% were caused by either *E. faecalis* (61.0%) or *E. faecium* (34.8%). Ampicillin resistance was detected in 90.4% of *E. faecium* but not detected in *E. faecalis*. Using Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute breakpoints (CLSI), vancomycin non-susceptibility was reported in 0.6% and 31.4% of *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium* respectively and was predominately due to the acquisition of the *vanB* operon. Approximately 1 in 6 *vanB* *E. faecium* isolates however, had a minimum inhibitory concentration at or below the CLSI vancomycin susceptible breakpoint of ≤ 4 mg/L. Overall, 37% of *E. faecium* harboured *vanA* or *vanB* genes. Although molecular typing identified 126 *E. faecalis* pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) pulsotypes, more than 50% belonged to 2 pulsotypes that were isolated across Australia. *E. faecium* consisted of 73 PFGE pulsotypes from which 43 multilocus sequence types were identified. Almost 90% of the *E. faecium* were identified as clonal complex 17 clones, of which approximately half were characterised as sequence type 203, which was isolated Australia-wide. In conclusion, the AESOP 2011 has shown that although polyclonal, enterococcal bacteraemias in Australia are frequently caused by ampicillin-resistant *vanB* *E. faecium*. *Commun Dis Intell* 2014;38(3):E247–E252.

Keywords: antimicrobial resistance surveillance; *Enterococcus faecium*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, vancomycin resistant, bacteraemia

Introduction

Globally, enterococci are thought to account for approximately 10% of all bacteraemias,¹ and in North America and Europe are the 4th and 5th leading cause of sepsis, respectively.² Although

in the 1970s, healthcare-associated enterococcal infections were primarily due to *Enterococcus faecalis*,³ there has been a steadily increasing prevalence of *E. faecium* nosocomial infections.^{4,5} While innately resistant to many classes of antibiotics, *E. faecium* has demonstrated a remarkable capacity to evolve new antimicrobial resistances. By the early 1990s vancomycin resistant *E. faecium* had become the 2nd most common nosocomial pathogen in the United States of America (USA),⁶ and was endemic in many North American hospitals.⁷ Vancomycin resistance in *E. faecium* bacteraemia isolates ranges from 5%–35% in Europe to 60% in North America.^{8,9} In 2009, the Infectious Diseases Society of America highlighted *E. faecium* as one of the key problem bacteria or ESKAPE (*Enterococcus faecium*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Acinetobacter baumannii*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Enterobacter* species) pathogens requiring new therapies.¹⁰

The Australian Group on Antimicrobial Resistance (AGAR) is a network of laboratories located across Australia that commenced surveillance of antimicrobial resistance in *Enterococcus* species in 1995.¹¹ In 2011, AGAR commenced the Australian Enterococcal Sepsis Outcome Programme (AESOP). The objective of the AESOP 2011 was to determine the proportion of *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium* bacteraemia isolates demonstrating antimicrobial resistance with particular emphasis on:

- assessing susceptibility to ampicillin;
- assessing susceptibility to glycopeptides; and
- molecular epidemiology of *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium*.

Methodology

Participants

Twenty-nine laboratories including 26 public and 3 private laboratories from all 8 Australian states and territories participated in the AESOP 2011 study.

Collection period

From 1 January to 31 December 2011, 29 laboratories collected all enterococcal species isolated from blood cultures. Enterococci with the same species and antimicrobial susceptibility profiles isolated

from a patient's blood culture within 14 days of the 1st positive culture were excluded. A new enterococcal sepsis episode in the same patient was recorded if it was confirmed by a further culture of blood taken more than 14 days after the initial positive culture.

Laboratory testing

Ampicillin susceptibility testing was performed according to each laboratory's routine standardised methodology. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) breakpoints were utilised for interpretation.¹² Of the 1,033 *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium* sepsis isolates, 963 (93.2%) were referred to the Australian Collaborating Centre for Enterococcus and Staphylococcus Species (ACCESS) Typing and Research for vancomycin and teicoplanin minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) estimation by Etest (bioMérieux) according to the manufacturer's guidelines. Isolates with a CLSI intermediate or resistant category were classified as non-susceptible. Molecular testing including *vanA/B* polymerase chain reaction, pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) and multilocus sequence typing (MLST) was performed as previously described.^{13–15}

Results

From 1 January to 31 December 2011, 1,079 unique episodes of enterococcal bacteraemia were identified. Although 8 *Enterococcus* species were identified, 61.0% (658 isolates) were *E. faecalis* and 34.8% (375) were *E. faecium*. Forty-five enterococci were identified either as *E. casseliflavus* (15 isolates), *E. gallinarum* (14), *E. avium* (8), *E. raffinosus* (4), *E. durans* (2) or *E. hirae* (2). One isolate could not be identified to the species level.

Phenotypic susceptibility results

Overall 90.4% (339) of the 375 isolates of *E. faecium* were ampicillin resistant. Ampicillin resistance was not detected in the 658 *E. faecalis* isolates. Vancomycin and teicoplanin MICs were performed on the 622 *E. faecalis* and 341 *E. faecium* referred to ACCESS Typing and Research. The vancomycin MICs for the *E. faecalis* isolates ranged from 0.25–>256 mg/L with a mode of 2 mg/L. The 3 vancomycin non-susceptible isolates (MIC >4 mg/L) had MICs of 16, 32 and >256 mg/L, respectively. The *E. faecalis* teicoplanin MICs ranged from 0.064–2 mg/L with a mode of 0.25 mg/L. None of the *E. faecalis* isolates had a teicoplanin MIC above the CLSI susceptible breakpoint of ≤8 mg/L. The vancomycin MICs for the *E. faecium* isolates ranged from 0.25–>256 mg/L with a mode of 1.0 mg/L. Overall, 31.4% (107 isolates) of *E. faecium* had a

vancomycin MIC >4 mg/L. The *E. faecium* teicoplanin MICs ranged from 0.047–>256 mg/L, with a mode of 0.5 mg/L. Five isolates had a teicoplanin MIC >8 mg/L.

Genotypic vancomycin susceptibility results

The 3 vancomycin non-susceptible *E. faecalis* isolates harboured a *vanB* gene. Two and 104 of the 107 *E. faecium* vancomycin non-susceptible isolates harboured *vanA* and *vanB* genes respectively. The *vanA/vanB* negative vancomycin non-susceptible *E. faecium* isolate had a MIC of 6 mg/L. Twenty of the 234 vancomycin susceptible *E. faecium* isolates (MIC ≤4 mg/L) also harboured *vanB* genes. The 2 *vanA* *E. faecium* isolates had a vancomycin MIC >256 mg/L. Thirty-three vancomycin non-susceptible *E. faecium* isolates had a vancomycin MIC within the CLSI intermediate category of 8–16 mg/L. Only 71 (57.2%) of the 124 *vanB* *E. faecium* isolates had a MIC above the CLSI vancomycin resistant breakpoint (≥32 mg/L). The 2 *vanA* *E. faecium* isolates had teicoplanin MICs of 32 and 64 mg/L. Of the 124 *vanB* *E. faecium* isolates one was teicoplanin intermediate (MIC 16 mg/L) and three were resistant (MIC >32 mg/L) by CLSI criteria.

Molecular epidemiology

By PFGE, 618 of the 622 *E. faecalis* were classified into 126 pulsotypes of which 9 pulsotypes (Efs1 to Efs9) had 10 or more isolates. Four isolates could not be typed by PFGE. Of the 117 pulsotypes that have less than 10 isolates, 66 pulsotypes were represented by only 1 isolate. Geographically, the 9 major pulsotypes were widely distributed, with the 2 predominant pulsotypes EFs1 (191 isolates) and Efs2 (103 isolates), isolated across Australia (Table 1). The 3 *vanB* *E. faecalis* were detected in pulsotype Efs2.

By PFGE, the 341 *E. faecium* isolates were classified into 73 pulsotypes from which 43 multilocus sequence types (STs) were identified. Five STs had more than 10 isolates (Table 2). The 2 major STs, ST203 (159 isolates) and ST17 (47 isolates) were isolated across Australia. ST341 (38 isolates) and ST252 (11 isolates) were isolated only in the eastern regions of Australia, and ST555 (34 isolates) was isolated in the western and central regions. Using eBURST, 89.1% (304/341 isolates) of *E. faecium* isolates, including the 5 major STs, were grouped into clonal complex (CC) 17. *Van* genes were identified in the 5 major STs and in ST80 and ST414. Although only 8.5% (4/47) of ST17 isolates harboured *vanB*, *vanB* genes were identified in 50.6% (79/153) of ST203 isolates. Other STs harbouring the *vanB* genes included ST341 (33/38), ST555 (2/34), ST252

Table 1: Number and proportion of major *Enterococcus faecalis* pulsed-field gel electrophoresis pulsotypes, Australia, 2011, by state or territory

Type	ACT		NSW		NT		Qld		SA		Tas.		Vic.		WA		Aus.	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Efs1	10	30.3	57	33.3	2	28.6	55	32.2	18	25.4	6	40.0	31	33.7	12	19.4	191	30.7
Efs2	9	27.3	21	12.3	2	28.6	29	17.0	17	23.9	3	20.0	13	14.1	9	14.5	103	16.6
Efs3	0	0.0	6	3.5	0	0.0	1	0.6	2	2.8	1	6.7	2	2.2	1	1.6	13	2.1
Efs4	0	0.0	3	1.8	0	0.0	8	4.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	1.1	1	1.6	13	2.1
Efs5	0	0.0	11	6.4	0	0.0	1	0.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	12	1.9
Efs6	0	0.0	2	1.2	0	0.0	1	0.6	2	2.8	1	6.7	3	3.3	3	4.8	12	1.9
Efs7	1	3.0	3	1.8	0	0.0	5	2.9	2	2.8	0	0.0	1	1.1	0	0.0	12	1.9
Efs8	0	0.0	3	1.8	0	0.0	2	1.2	3	4.2	0	0.0	1	1.1	2	3.2	11	1.8
Efs9	0	0.0	4	2.3	0	0.0	3	1.8	2	2.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	1.6	10	1.6
Other	13	39.4	60	35.1	3	42.9	65	38.0	25	35.2	4	26.7	39	42.4	32	51.6	241	38.7
NT	0	0.0	1	0.6	0	0.0	1	0.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	1.1	1	1.6	4	0.6
Total	33	100.0	171	100.0	7	100.0	171	100.0	71	100.0	15	100.0	92	100.0	62	100.0	622	100.0

NT Not typed.

The category type 'other' includes the 117 pulsed-field gel electrophoresis pulsotypes that had less than 10 isolates per pulsotype.

Table 2: Number and proportion of major *Enterococcus faecium* multilocus sequence types, Australia, 2011, by state or territory

Type	ACT		NSW		NT		Qld		SA		Tas.		Vic.		WA		Aus.	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Efm ST203	9	40.9	37	39.8	2	33.3	34	60.7	14	25.9	2	50.0	36	57.1	25	58.1	159	46.6
Efm ST17	3	13.6	19	20.4	0	0.0	7	12.5	2	3.7	2	50.0	7	11.1	7	16.3	47	13.8
Efm ST341	7	31.8	26	28.0	0	0.0	3	5.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	3.2	0	0.0	38	11.1
Efm ST555	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	50.0	0	0.0	27	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	9.3	34	10.0
Efm ST252	0	0.0	2	2.1	0	0.0	3	5.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	6	9.5	0	0.0	11	3.2
Other	3	13.6	9	9.7	1	16.7	9	16.0	11	20.4	0	0.0	12	19.1	7	16.3	52	15.2
Total	22	100.0	93	100.0	6	100.0	56	100.0	54	100.0	4	100.0	63	100.0	43	100.0	341	100.0

The category type 'other' includes the 38 multilocus sequence types that had less than 10 isolates per sequence type.

(1/11), ST80 (2/6), ST414 (2/2), and a single non-CC17 isolate, ST863. Two CC17 STs harboured the *vanA* gene; ST341 and ST80.

Discussion

AESOP 2011 was the 1st ongoing sepsis program performed by AGAR, and was conducted primarily to determine the proportion of *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium* bacteraemia isolates demonstrating antimicrobial resistance, with particular emphasis on assessing susceptibility to penicillin and the glycopeptides and the distribution of different enterococcal clones. As this is the 1st year of an ongoing program, it is difficult to determine the public health significance of these results. We suggest these results should be used as a marker for future AESOPs.

Similar to the situation in the USA and in Europe,^{16,17} enterococcal bacteraemia in Australia, and notably bacteraemia caused by multidrug-resistant *E. faecium*, has become a significant problem. In the AESOP 2011 study, approximately 1 in 3 cases of enterococcal bacteraemia was due to *E. faecium*, of which 90.4% (339 of 375 episodes) were ampicillin resistant and 36.9% (126 of 341 episodes) harboured either *vanA* or *vanB* genes. However, unlike Europe and the USA, where vancomycin resistance in *E. faecium* has predominately been due to the acquisition of the *vanA* operon, almost all of the AESOP 2011 *E. faecium* blood culture isolates harbouring *van* genes carried the *vanB* operon (98.4%). Recent studies however, have demonstrated a significant presence of *vanB E. faecium* in both North America and Europe.^{18–20}

vanB vancomycin resistant enterococci (VRE) is now more prevalent than *vanA* VRE in several European centres including Sweden, Spain and Germany,^{21–23} whilst recent Canadian national surveillance demonstrates *vanB* comprises 10% of their VRE.²⁴ It is thought this increased occurrence of *vanB*-positive *E. faecium* in the Northern Hemisphere may be due to the increased use of antibiotics selecting enterococci and VRE as well as to methodological reasons (e.g. reduced European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) MIC breakpoints for glycopeptides; increased use and sensitive performance of chromogenic VRE agars; increased use of molecular diagnostic assays).²⁵ Twenty (16.1%) of the 124 *vanB E. faecium* isolates had a vancomycin MIC at or below the CLSI and the EUCAST susceptible breakpoint (≤ 4 mg/L) and would not have been identified using routine phenotypic antimicrobial susceptibility methods.

With the use of PFGE, both enterococcal species were shown to be very polyclonal, confirming the enormous plasticity of the enterococcal genome. The majority of *E. faecium* isolates formed part of CC17, a global hospital-derived lineage that has successfully adapted to hospital environments. CC17 is characteristically ampicillin and quinolone resistant and subsequent acquisition of *vanA*- or *vanB*-containing transposons by horizontal transfer in CC17 clones has resulted in VRE with pandemic potential.

The study has a number of limitations. Although achieving national coverage, the participating laboratories service only a minority of the Australian hospitalised population. Further, MIC assays for vancomycin and teicoplanin were performed by a commercial gradient diffusion method and not the standard reference broth microdilution method.

Conclusions

The AESOP 2011 study has shown though predominately caused by *E. faecalis*, enterococcal bacteraemia in Australia is frequently caused by ampicillin-resistant *vanB E. faecium*. Molecular typing characterised over 50% of *E. faecalis* isolates as 2 PFGE pulsotypes and almost 90% of *E. faecium* isolates as CC17 clones of which approximately half were ST203. Further studies of the enterococcal genome will contribute to our understanding of the evolution of enterococci in the hospital environment and assist in preventing their nosocomial transmission.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge Hui-leen Tan, Yung Ching Lee and Lynne Wilson from the Department of Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, PathWest Laboratory Medicine WA, Royal Perth Hospital; Frances O'Brien and Ka Yan Wong from the Australian Collaborating Centre for *Enterococcus* and *Staphylococcus* Species (ACCESS) Typing and Research, School of Biomedical Sciences, Curtin University; and the Western Australia Genome Resource Centre, Department of Clinical Immunology and Biochemical Genetics, Royal Perth Hospital for the molecular typing of enterococci.

Members of the AGAR in 2011 were:

Australian Capital Territory

Peter Collignon and Susan Bradbury, The Canberra Hospital

New South Wales

Tom Gottlieb and Graham Robertson, Concord Hospital

Miriam Paul and Richard Jones, Douglass Hanly Moir Pathology

James Branley and Donna Barbaro, Nepean Hospital

George Kotsiou and Peter Huntington, Royal North Shore Hospital

Colin MacLeod and Bradley Watson, Royal Prince Alfred Hospital

Iain Gosbell and Annabelle LeCordier, Liverpool Hospital

David Mitchell and Lee Thomas, Westmead Hospital

Northern Territory

Jann Hennessy and Rob Baird, Royal Darwin Hospital

Queensland

Enzo Binotto and Bronwyn Thomsett, Pathology Queensland Cairns Base Hospital

Graeme Nimmo and Narelle George, Pathology Queensland Central Laboratory

Petra Derrington and Sharon Dal-Cin, Pathology Queensland Gold Coast Hospital

Chris Coulter and Sonali Coulter, Pathology Queensland Prince Charles Hospital

Joan Faoagali and Joel Douglas, Pathology Queensland Princess Alexandra Hospital

Jenny Robson and Georgia Peachey, Sullivan Nicolaides Pathology

South Australia

Kelly Papanoum and Nicholas Wells, SA Pathology, Flinders Medical Centre

Morgyn Warner and Fleur Manno, SA Pathology, Royal Adelaide Hospital

John Turnidge and Jan Bell, SA Pathology, Women's and Children's Hospital

Tasmania

Mhisti Rele and Kathy Wilcox, Launceston General Hospital

Louise Cooley and Rob Peterson, Royal Hobart Hospital

Victoria

Denis Spelman and Michael Huysmans, The Alfred Hospital

Benjamin Howden and Peter Ward, Austin Hospital

Tony Korman and Despina Kotsanas, Monash Medical Centre

Suzanne Garland and Gena Gonis, Royal Women's Hospital

Mary Jo Waters and Linda Joyce, St Vincent's Hospital

Western Australia

David McGeachie and Rebecca Wake, PathWest Laboratory Medicine, Fremantle Hospital

Barbara Henderson and Ronan Murray, PathWest Laboratory Medicine, Queen Elizabeth II Hospital

Keryn Christiansen, Denise Daley and Geoffrey Coombs, PathWest Laboratory Medicine, Royal Perth Hospital

Victoria D'Abbrera and Sindy Budalich, St John of God Pathology

Author details

Dr Geoffrey W Coombs^{1,2}

Ms Julie C Pearson²

Ms Denise A Daley³

Ms Tam Le¹

Dr James O Robinson^{1,2}

Dr Thomas Gottlieb⁴

Dr Benjamin P Howden^{5,6}

Dr Paul DR Johnson⁵

Dr Catherine M Bennett⁷

Dr Timothy P Stinear⁶

Prof John D Turnidge^{8,9}

1. Australian Collaborating Centre for Enterococcus and Staphylococcus Species (ACCESS) Typing and Research, School of Biomedical Sciences, Curtin University, Perth, Western Australia
2. Department of Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, PathWest Laboratory Medicine, WA, Royal Perth Hospital, Perth, Western Australia
3. Australian Group on Antimicrobial Resistance, Royal Perth Hospital, Perth, Western Australia

4. Department of Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, Concord Hospital, Concord, New South Wales
5. Microbiology Department, Austin Health, Heidelberg, Victoria
6. Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria
7. Population Health, Deakin University, Melbourne
8. SA Pathology, Department of Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, Women's and Children's Hospital, North Adelaide, South Australia
9. Departments of Pathology, Paediatrics and Molecular and Biomedical Sciences, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, South Australia

Corresponding author: Dr Geoffrey Coombs, Australian Collaborating Centre for Enterococcus and Staphylococcus Species (ACCESS) Typing and Research, School of Biomedical Sciences, Curtin University, Perth, Western Australia. Telephone: +61 8 9224 2446. Facsimile: +61 8 9224 1989. Email: Geoff.Coombs@curtin.edu.au

References

1. Pinholt M, Ostergaard C, Arpi M, Bruun NE, Schönheyder HC, Gradel KO, et al. Incidence, clinical characteristics and 30-day mortality of enterococcal bacteraemia in Denmark 2006–2009: a population-based cohort study. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2014;20(2):145–515.
2. Deshpande LM, Fritsche TR, Moet GJ, Biedenbach DJ, Jones RN. Antimicrobial resistance and molecular epidemiology of vancomycin-resistant enterococci from North America and Europe: a report from the SENTRY antimicrobial surveillance program. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2007;58(2):163–170.
3. Murray BE. The life and times of the *Enterococcus*. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 1990;3(1):46–65.
4. Simonsen GS, Småbrekke L, Monnet DL, Sørensen TL, Møller JK, Kristinsson KG, et al. Prevalence of resistance to ampicillin, gentamicin and vancomycin in *Enterococcus faecalis* and *Enterococcus faecium* isolates from clinical specimens and use of antimicrobials in five Nordic hospitals. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2003;51(2):323–331.
5. Treitman AN, Yarnold PR, Warren J, Noskin GA. Emerging incidence of *Enterococcus faecium* among hospital isolates (1993 to 2002). *J Clin Microbiol* 2005;43(1):462–463.
6. Frieden TR, Munsiff SS, Low DE, Willey BM, Williams G, Faur Y, et al. Emergence of vancomycin-resistant enterococci in New York City. *Lancet* 1993;342 (8863):76–79.
7. Murray BE. Vancomycin-resistant enterococcal infections. *N Engl J Med* 2000;342(10):710–721.
8. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. European Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance Network. [online]. Accessed on April 2014. Available from: <http://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/activities/surveillance/EARS-Net/Pages/index.aspx>
9. Bearman GM, Wenzel RP. Bacteremias: A leading cause of death. *Arch Med Res* 2005 36(6):646–659.
10. Boucher HW, Talbot GH, Bradley JS, Edwards JE, Gilbert D, Rice LB, et al. Bad bugs, no drugs: no ESCAPE! An update from the Infectious Diseases Society of America. *Clin Infect Dis* 2009;48(1):1–12.
11. Christiansen KJ, Turnidge JD, Bell JM, George NM, Pearson JC, Australian Group on Antimicrobial Resistance. Prevalence of antimicrobial resistance in *Enterococcus* isolates in Australia, 2005: Report from the Australian Group on Antimicrobial Resistance. *Commun Dis Intell* 2007;31(4):392–397.
12. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Twenty-second informational supplement M100-S22. Villanova, PA, USA; 2012.
13. Kulski JK, Wilson RD, Bending R, Grubb W. Antibiotic resistance and genomic analysis of enterococci in an intensive care unit and general wards. *Pathology* 1998;30(1):68–72.
14. Tenover FC, Arbeit RD, Goering RV, Mickelsen PA, Murray BE, Persing DH, et al. Interpreting chromosomal DNA restriction patterns produced by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis: Criteria for bacterial strain typing. *J Clin Microbiol* 1995;33(9):2233–2239.
15. Homan WL, Tribe D, Poznanski S, Li M, Hogg G, Spalburg E, et al. Multilocus sequence typing scheme for *Enterococcus faecium*. *J Clin Microbiol* 2002;40(6):1963–1971.
16. Wisplinghoff H, Bischoff T, Tallent SM, Seifert H, Wenzel RP, Edmond MB. Nosocomial bloodstream infections in US hospitals: Analysis of 24,179 cases from a prospective nationwide surveillance study. *Clin Infect Dis* 2004;39(3):309–317.
17. de Kraker ME, Jarlier V, Monen JC, Heuer OE, van de Sande N, Grundmann H. The changing epidemiology of bacteraemias in Europe: Trends from the European Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance System. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2013;19(9):860–868.
18. Klare I, Fleige C, Geringer U, Witte W, Werner G. Performance of three chromogenic VRE screening agars, two Etest® vancomycin protocols, and different microdilution methods in detecting *vanB* genotype *Enterococcus faecium* with varying vancomycin MICs. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2012;74(2):171–176.
19. Mendes RE, Farrell DJ, Sader HS, Jones RN. Oritavancin microbiologic features and activity results from the surveillance program in the United States. *Clin Infect Dis* 2012;54(Suppl 3):S203–S213.
20. Jones RN, Sader HS, Flamm RK. Update of dalbavancin spectrum and potency in the USA: report from the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program (2011). *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2013;75(3):304–307.
21. Soderblom T, Aspevall O, Erntell M, Hedin G, Heimer D, Hokeberg I, et al. Alarming spread of vancomycin resistant enterococci in Sweden since 2007. *Euro Surveill* 2010;15(29):pii: 19620.
22. López M, Cercenado E, Tenorio C, Ruiz-Larrea F, Torres C. Diversity of clones and genotypes among vancomycin-resistant clinical *Enterococcus* isolates recovered in a Spanish hospital. *Microb Drug Resist* 2012;18(5):484–491.
23. Werner G, Klare I, Fleige C, Geringer U, Witte W, Just HM, et al. Vancomycin-resistant *vanB*-type *Enterococcus faecium* isolates expressing varying levels of vancomycin resistance and being highly prevalent among neonatal patients in a single ICU. *Antimicrob Res Infect Control* 2012;1(1):21.
24. McCracken M, Wong A, Mitchell R, Gravel D, Conly J, Embil J, et al. Molecular epidemiology of vancomycin-resistant enterococcal bacteraemia: results from the Canadian Nosocomial Infection Surveillance Program, 1999–2009. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2013;68(7):1505–1509.
25. Klare I, Witte W, Wendt C, Werner G. [Vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE). Recent results and trends in development of antibiotic resistance]. *Bundesgesundheitsblatt, Gesundheitsforschung, Gesundheitsschutz* 2012;55(11–12):1387–400. German